

# FARTHEST POINTS IN WEAKLY COMPACT SETS

BY  
KA-SING LAU

## ABSTRACT

Let  $S$  be a weakly compact subset of a Banach space  $B$ . We show that the set of all points in  $B$  which have farthest points in  $S$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$  of  $B$ . Also, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for bounded closed convex sets to be the closed convex hull of their farthest points in reflexive Banach spaces.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $B$  be a Banach space and let  $S$  be a bounded subset in  $B$ . We define a real valued function  $r: B \rightarrow R$  by

$$r(x) = \sup \{ \|x - z\| : z \in S \};$$

this is convex (it is the supremum of convex functions) and continuous, in fact,  $|r(x) - r(y)| \leq \|x - y\|$ . A point  $z \in S$  is called a *farthest point* of  $S$  if there exists an  $x$  in  $B$  such that  $\|x - z\| = r(x)$ . In [2], Edelstein showed that if  $B$  is a uniformly convex space and  $S$  is normed closed, then the set

$$D = \{x \in B : \|x - z\| = r(x) \text{ for some } z \in S\}$$

is dense in  $B$ . The theorem was generalized by Asplund [1] to reflexive locally uniformly convex spaces; moreover, the set  $D$  was shown to contain a dense  $G_\delta$  in  $B$ . In Section 2, we consider the subdifferential of the convex function  $r$  and, by a category argument, we can show that the theorem is true for any weakly compact subsets of a Banach space. In particular, our result implies Asplund's theorem.

In Section 3, we consider the Banach spaces  $B$  such that every bounded closed convex subset of  $B$  is the closed convex hull of its farthest points. A Banach space  $B$  is said to have *property (I)* if every bounded closed convex set in  $B$  is the intersection of a family of closed balls of  $B$  [4], [5]; we show that, if  $B$  is reflexive, then the above two properties are equivalent.

## 2. The main theorem

Let  $B$  be a Banach space and let  $S$  be a bounded subset of  $B$ . For each  $x \in B$ , we define the *subdifferential* of the convex function  $r$  at  $x$  by

$$\partial r(x) = \{x^* \in B^* : \langle x^*, y - x \rangle + r(x) \leq r(y) \text{ for all } y \in B\}.$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $B$  be a Banach space and let  $S$  be a bounded subset in  $B$ . Then for  $x \in B$ , each element of  $\partial r(x)$  has norm less than or equal to 1.*

PROOF. For each  $x \in B$ ,  $x^* \in \partial r(x)$ , we have

$$\langle x^*, y - x \rangle + r(x) \leq r(y) \text{ for all } y \in B.$$

Hence

$$\langle x^*, y - x \rangle \leq r(y) - r(x) \leq \|y - x\| \text{ for all } y \in B,$$

i.e.  $\|x^*\| \leq 1$ .

It is clear from the lemma that, for any  $x$  in  $B$ ,  $x^* \in \partial r(x)$ , we have

$$\inf_{z \in S} \langle x^*, z - x \rangle \geq -r(x).$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $B$  be a Banach space and let  $S$  be a bounded subset in  $B$ . Then the set*

$$F = \{x \in B : \inf_{z \in S} \langle x^*, z - x \rangle > -r(x) \text{ for some } x^* \in \partial r(x)\}$$

*is of first category in  $B$ .*

PROOF. Let

$$F_n = \{x \in B : \inf_{z \in S} \langle x^*, z - x \rangle \geq -r(x) + \frac{1}{n} \text{ for some } x^* \in \partial r(x)\},$$

then  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . We will show that, for any  $n$ , (i)  $F_n$  is a closed subset of  $B$ , (ii)  $F_n$  has empty interior.

(i) Let  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $F_n$  which converges to an  $x$  in  $B$ . For each  $m$ , choose  $x_m^* \in \partial r(x_m)$  such that

$$\inf_{z \in S} \langle x_m^*, z - x_m \rangle \geq -r(x_m) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since  $\|x_m^*\| \leq 1$  for all  $m$  (Lemma 2.1), without loss of generality, we assume that  $\{x_m^*\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges weak\* to  $x^*$ . We have, for any  $y \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle x_m^*, y - x_m \rangle - \langle x^*, y - x \rangle| \\
& \leq |\langle x_m^*, y - x_m \rangle - \langle x_m^*, y - x \rangle| + |\langle x_m^*, y - x \rangle - \langle x^*, y - x \rangle| \\
& \leq \|x_m - x\| + |\langle x_m^* - x^*, y - x \rangle|.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\{\langle x_m^*, y - x_m \rangle\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to  $\langle x^*, y - x \rangle$ . Since  $x_m^* \in \partial r(x_m)$ ,

$$\langle x_m^*, y - x_m \rangle + r(x_m) \leq r(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in B,$$

hence it follows that

$$\langle x^*, y - x \rangle + r(x) \leq r(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in B,$$

i.e.,  $x^* \in \partial r(x)$ . Moreover,

$$\langle x_m^*, z - x_m \rangle \geq -r(x_m) + \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for all } z \in S,$$

implies that

$$\langle x^*, z - x \rangle \geq -r(x) + \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for all } z \in S,$$

i.e.,  $x \in F_n$  and  $F_n$  is a closed subset of  $B$ .

(ii) Suppose that some  $F_k$  has nonempty interior; then there exists an open ball  $U$  in  $B$  of radius  $2\lambda$  and center at  $y_0$  such that  $U \subseteq F_k$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \lambda / (1 + \lambda)k$  and choose  $z_0 \in S$  such that

$$r(y_0) - \varepsilon \leq \|y_0 - z_0\| (\leq r(y_0)). \quad 0 < \lambda < \min\{d, \frac{d}{r(y_0)}\} \text{ and}$$

Let

$$x_0 = y_0 + \lambda(y_0 - z_0), \text{ then } x_0 \in U$$

Choose  $x_1 \in U \subseteq F_k$  such that  $\|x_1 - x_0\| < \varepsilon$ . Then there exists  $x_0^* \in \partial r(x_0)$  such that

$$\inf_{z \in S} \langle x_0^*, z - x_0 \rangle \geq -r(x_0) + \frac{1}{k}.$$

We shall show that

$$\langle x_0^*, y_0 - x_0 \rangle + r(x_0) > r(y_0).$$

This will contradict the fact that  $x_0^*$  is a subdifferential of  $r$  at  $x_0$  and complete the proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& r(y_0) - r(x_0) \\
& < \left( \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} \|x_0 - z_0\| + \varepsilon \right) - r(x_0) \\
& < \left( \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} r(x_1) + 2\varepsilon \right) - r(x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} r(x_0) + \lambda \varepsilon \\
 &\leq \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \left( \langle x_0^*, z_0 - x_0 \rangle - \frac{1}{k} \right) + \lambda \varepsilon \\
 &< \langle x_0^*, y_0 - x_0 \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{(1+\lambda)k} + \lambda \varepsilon \\
 &= \langle x_0^*, y_0 - x_0 \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be a weakly compact subset in a Banach space  $B$ . Then the set*

$$\{x \in B : \|x - z\| = r(x) \text{ for some } z \in S\}$$

*contains a dense  $G_\delta$  of  $B$ . In particular, the set of farthest points of  $S$  is nonempty.*

**PROOF.** Let  $F$  and  $F_n$  be defined as in Lemma 2.2 and let  $D = B \setminus F$ . Then

$$D = B \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (B \setminus F_n),$$

where each  $B \setminus F_n$  is an open, dense subset in  $B$ . Hence  $D$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  in  $B$ . For each  $x \in D$ ,  $x^* \in \partial r(x)$ , we have

$$\inf_{z \in S} \langle x^*, z - x \rangle = -r(x).$$

By weakly compactness of  $S$ , there exists a point  $z_0 \in S$  with  $\langle x^*, z_0 - x \rangle = -r(x)$ . Hence

$$r(x) \geq \|x - z_0\| \geq |\langle x^*, z_0 - x \rangle| = r(x).$$

This shows that  $D \subseteq \{x : \|x - z\| = r(x) \text{ for some } z \in S\}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *If  $B$  is a reflexive Banach space, then for every bounded, weakly closed subset in  $B$ , the set*

$$\{x \in B : \|x - z\| = r(x) \text{ for some } z \in S\}$$

*contains a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $B$  and hence the set of farthest points of  $S$  is nonempty.*

**COROLLARY 2.5 (Asplund).** *Let  $B$  be a reflexive locally uniformly convex space, then Corollary 2.4 holds for every bounded, norm closed subset  $S$  in  $B$ .*

PROOF. By the locally uniformly convexity, each farthest point of  $\overline{\text{conv } S}$  is a strongly exposed point of  $\overline{\text{conv } S}$  and hence is contained in  $S$ . It follows that the sets of farthest points of  $S$  and  $\overline{\text{conv } S}$  coincide. Hence we can apply Corollary 2.4 on  $\overline{\text{conv } S}$ .

### 3. Closed convex hulls of farthest points

In this section, we assume that  $S$  is a bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space. Let  $b(S)$  denote the set of farthest points of  $S$ . Even in the two-dimensional spaces, the set  $S$  may fail to be the closed convex hull of its farthest points. (E.g., give  $R^2$  the maximum norm and let  $S = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ .)

A Banach space  $B$  is said to *have property (I)* if every bounded closed convex set in  $B$  can be represented as the intersection of a family of closed balls. This definition was introduced by Mazur [4] and was studied by Phelps [5]. The second author showed that there is a large class of Banach spaces (which includes those spaces whose duals are locally uniformly convex) with property (I). In [2], Edelstein proved that in a uniformly convex space with property (I),  $S$  is the closed convex hull of  $b(S)$ . However, the standing hypothesis that  $B$  is uniformly convex was used only to show that  $b(S)$  is nonempty. Hence, by Theorem 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 2 in [2], we have

PROPOSITION 3.1 (Edelstein). *Suppose  $B$  is a Banach space with property (I); then every weakly compact convex subset of  $B$  is the closed convex hull of its farthest points.*

In the following, we shall prove the converse of the above proposition in the reflexive spaces.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $B$  be a Banach space. Suppose there exists a bounded closed convex subset  $S$  of  $B$  such that*

$$\bigcap \{C : C \text{ closed ball containing } S\} \neq S,$$

*then there exists a bounded closed convex subset  $W$  with nonvoid interior such that*

$$\bigcap \{C : C \text{ closed ball containing } W\} \neq W.$$

PROOF. Let

$$S_1 = \bigcap \{C : C \text{ closed ball containing } S\}.$$

Suppose  $S_1 \not\supseteq S$ , let  $x_1 \in S_1 \setminus S$ . By the separation theorem, we can find an  $x^* \in B^*$  such that  $\sup x^*(S) < x^*(x_1)$ . Let  $W_0$  be a bounded closed convex set with nonvoid interior and  $\sup x^*(W_0) < \sup x^*(S)$ . Let  $W$  be the closed convex hull of  $S$  and  $W_0$ , then  $x_1 \notin W$  and it is clear that

$$x_1 \in S_1 \subseteq \bigcap \{C : C \text{ closed ball containing } W\}.$$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose  $B$  is a reflexive space; then  $B$  has property (I) if and only if every bounded closed convex subset in  $B$  is the closed convex hull of its farthest points.*

**PROOF.** The necessity follows from Proposition 3.1. To prove the sufficiency, let  $S$  be a bounded closed convex subset of  $B$  and let

$$S_1 = \bigcap \{C : C \text{ closed ball containing } S\}.$$

Suppose  $S_1 \not\supseteq S$ , there exists a point  $x_1 \in S_1 \setminus S$ . By the above lemma, we can assume that  $S$  has nonvoid interior; let  $y_1$  be an interior point of  $S$  (hence an interior point of  $S_1$ ) and choose  $z_1$  such that

$$z_1 = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1,$$

with  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $z_1 \notin S$ . Note that  $z_1$  is then an interior point of  $S_1$ , so are any points of the form

$$(*) \quad \alpha z_1 + (1 - \alpha)x, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad x \in S.$$

Let  $S_2 = \text{conv}(S \cup \{z_1\})$ , we claim that  $b(S_2)$ , the set of farthest points of  $S_2$ , is contained in  $S$ . Indeed, for any  $x \in B$ , consider the function

$$r(x) = \sup \{\|x - y\| : y \in S\},$$

the ball  $\{y \in B : \|x - y\| \leq r(x)\}$  contains  $S$  and hence contains  $S_1$  (by definition). Since each point of the form (\*) is an interior point of  $S_1$ , its distance to  $x$  is less than  $r(x)$  and cannot be a farthest point. It follows that  $b(S_2) \subseteq S$ , hence  $z_1 \notin \overline{\text{conv } b(S_2)}$ ; this contradicts that every bounded closed convex set in  $B$  is the closed convex hull of its farthest points, and the proof is complete.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his appreciation to Professor R. Phelps for his comments and suggestions for preparing this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Asplund, *Farthest points in reflexive locally uniformly rotund Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. 4 (1966), 213-216.

2. M. Edelstein, *Farthest points of sets in uniformly convex Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **4** (1966), 171–176.
3. M. Edelstein and J. Lewis, *On exposed and farthest points in normed linear spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **12** (1971), 301–308.
4. S. Mazur, *Über Schwache Konvergenz in den Raumen ( $L^p$ )*, Studia Math. **4** (1933), 128–133.
5. R. Phelps, *A representation theorem for bounded convex sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 976–983.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH  
PITTSBURGH, PA. 15260 U.S.A.

